arXiv:1508.07874v1 [gr-qc] 31 Aug 2015

Late time solution for interacting scalar in accelerating spaces

Tomislav Prokopec*

Institute for Theoretical Physics, Spinoza Institute and EMMEΦ, Utrecht University,
Postbus 80.195, 3508 TD Utrecht, The Netherlands

Abstract

We consider stochastic inflation in an interacting scalar field in spatially homogeneous accelerating space-times with a constant principal slow roll parameter ϵ. We show that, if the scalar potential is scale invariant (which is the case when scalar contains quartic self-interaction and couples non-minimally to gravity), the late-time solution on accelerating FLRW spaces can be described by a probability distribution function (PDF) ρ which is a function of φ/H only, where $\varphi = \varphi(\vec{x})$ is the scalar field and $H = H(t)$ denotes the Hubble parameter. We give explicit late-time solutions for $\rho \to \rho_\infty(\varphi/H)$, and thereby find the order ϵ corrections to the Starobinsky-Yokoyama result. This PDF can then be used to calculate *e.g.* various $n-$point functions of the (self-interacting) scalar field, which are valid at late times in arbitrary accelerating space-times with $\epsilon =$ constant.

PACS numbers: 04.62.+v, 98.80.-k, 98.80.Qc

---

*t.prokopec@uu.nl

I. INTRODUCTION

In 1986 Alexei Starobinsky [1] realised that a classical stochastic theory can be used to calculate infrared correlators of interacting scalar fields on De Sitter space. The stochastic formalism was then used to calculate the late-time state of a self-interacting scalar field [2]. Almost 20 years later Tsamis and Woodard [3] proved that stochastic formalism correctly captures the leading logarithms on De Sitter background to all orders in perturbation theory, and therefore can be used to efficiently resum the perturbation theory on De Sitter in scalar interacting theories. Miao and Woodard [4] and Prokopec, Tsamis and Woodard [5] have shown how to generalize the results of [3] to include light fermionic and (massless) Abelian gauge fields, respectively. Since massless fermions and Abelian gauge fields couple conformally to gravity, they cannot be treated as classical. The authors of [4] and [5] realized that these *passive* fields (light fermions and Abelian gauge fields) can be integrated out to obtain an effective interacting scalar theory, which can be then stochasticized by the usual Starobinsky's procedure. Explicit two-loop calculations in scalar electrodynamics [6, 7] vindicate the stochastic inflationary predictions of [5]. Despite of some notable attempts [3, 8–10], it is fair to say that at this moment it is not known how to rigorously stochastize (perturbative) quantum gravity on De Sitter or on inflationary space-times.

Recently Gihyuk Cho, Cook Hyun Kim and Hiroyuki Kitamoto [11, 12] showed that the correct way to stochasticize light interacting scalar fields on accelerating spaces (defined by $0 \leq \epsilon = -\dot{H}/H^2 < 1$, where H is the Hubble parameter), introduces an important order ϵ-correction to the friction in the naîve stochastic equations. In this letter we make use of Cho-Kim-Kitamoto's result to solve for the late-time solution of the field.

We show that scale invariant interacting scalar theories admit at late times a solution in which the PDF $\rho = \rho(\varphi/H) \equiv \exp[-v_{\rm eff}(\varphi/H)]$, where $v_{\rm eff}(\varphi/H)$ generalises the Starobinsky-Yokoyama solution [2]. Recall that the Starobinsky-Yokoyama solution is of the form, $\rho_{\rm SY} = \rho_0 \exp(-v)$, with $v = [8\pi^2/(3H^4)]V(\varphi)$, where $V(\varphi) = \lambda\varphi^4/4!$ is the tree-level potential. Strictly speaking this solution applies to De Sitter space only. In this letter we show that in accelerating spaces with a constant ϵ parameter, the late-time solution of Starobinsky and Yokoyama $\rho_{\rm SY}$ gets modified. Furthermore, we explicitly calculate the ϵ-induced corrections to the PDF. Next, we show that only some classes of potentials – namely those that exhibit scale invariance – permit simple late-time solution in the form $\rho \to \rho_\infty(\varphi/H)$. Curiously, these are precisely the potentials that coincide with those studied in Ref. [13] that admit classical solutions of the form, $\phi(t) = \psi_0 H(t)$, where ψ_0 is some (calculable) constant. In Ref. [13] the one-loop-effective potential was calculated for a background field of the form, $\phi(t) = \psi_0 H(t)$. The conclusion of Ref. [13] was that two types of quantum effects break the classical scale invariance: (1) the effects induced by the counterterms in the process of renormalization and (2) the effects resulting from regulating the infrared sector of the scalar field (whose naîve Bunch-Davies vacuum contains power low divergences in the infrared). Remarkably, stochastic analysis presented in this letter shows that, when perturbative contributions are resummed, *the scaling symmetry of the classical theory gets restored*, constituting the main result of this letter.

II. THE MODEL AND ITS STOCHASTIC ANALYSIS

Consider a scalar field ϕ whose dynamics is implied by the (classical) action,

$$S[\phi] = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left[-\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu\phi\partial_\nu\phi - V(\phi) - \frac{1}{2}\xi R\phi^2\right] , \tag{1}$$

where $g_{\mu\nu}$ is the metric tensor, $g^{\mu\nu}$ its inverse, the metric signature is $\mathrm{sign}[g_{\mu\nu}] = (-1, 1, 1, 1)$, $R = R(g_{\mu\nu})$ is the Ricci scalar, and $V(\phi)$ is the (tree level) scalar potential. When gravity is taken to be non-dynamical and when the scalar field is assumed to be light, $|[\partial^2 V/\partial\phi^2]_{\phi=0}| \ll H^2$, $|\xi| \ll 1/12$, the scalar theory (1) on De Sitter space and in the infrared (on super-Hubble scales) is well approximated by Starobinsky's stochastic inflation [1, 2]. In this letter we study the late-time dynamics of the scalar field by making use of the modified stochastic inflation first obtained in [11, 12]. To make it suitable for stochastic treatment, the coupling constants ξ and the corresponding couplings in the potential $V(\phi)$ are the renormalized couplings evaluated at the physical momentum scale $\bar{\mu} \simeq \mu H_0$, where $\mu \ll 1$ and H_0 is the Hubble parameter at a suitably chosen initial (sufficiently early) time.

Here we work in a flat FLRW space-time, for which the metric tensor can be written as,

$$g_{\mu\nu} = -dt^2 + a^2(t)d\vec{x}\cdot d\vec{x} \,, \tag{2}$$

where $a = a(t)$ is the scale factor. In terms of the scale factor, one can define the Hubble parameter, $H(t) = \dot{a}/a$ and the principal slow roll parameter, $\epsilon = -\dot{H}/H^2$. The Ricci scalar is then, $R = 6(2-\epsilon)H^2$. In De Sitter space, $\dot{H} = 0$, such that $\epsilon = 0$ and $R = 12H^2$ is constant.

A. Stochastic theory on De Sitter space

Stochastic inflation on De Sitter can be obtained by the following simple procedure (which is not a derivation; for a derivation see [3, 5]). The action (1) implies the following operator equation of motion for ϕ,

$$\ddot{\phi}(t,\vec{x}) + 3H\dot{\phi} - \frac{\nabla^2}{a^2}\phi + V'(\phi) + \xi R\phi = 0\,, \tag{3}$$

where $V' = dV/d\phi$. Here we are interested in the dynamics of the infrared part $\Phi(t,\vec{x})$ of the field ϕ. In the limit when the scalar field is light, $|m_\phi^2| = |d^2V/d\phi^2(\phi=0) + \xi R \ll H^2|$, the scalar field dynamics is correctly described by the following simple stochastic equation of the Langevin type,

$$\dot{\Phi} + \frac{V'(\Phi) - V''(0)\Phi}{3H} = \frac{1}{3H}\dot{\Phi}_0\,, \tag{4}$$

where the couplings in $V(\Phi)$ and ξ are to be evaluated at the ultraviolet cutoff scale of the theory, given by $\tilde{\mu} = \mu H_0$ ($\mu \ll 1$), and $\Phi_0(t,\vec{x})$ is the solution of the homogeneous linear equation,

$$\ddot{\Phi}_0(t,\vec{x}) + 3H\dot{\Phi}_0 - \frac{\nabla^2}{a^2}\Phi_0 + \left[6\xi(2-\epsilon)H^2 + V''(0)\right]\Phi_0 = 0\,. \tag{5}$$

This linear equation can be solved by decomposing the field in terms of the mode functions $u(t,k)$ and $u^*(t,k)$ as,

$$\Phi_0(t,\vec{x}) = \int \frac{d^3k}{(2\pi)^3}\Theta(\mu H a - k)\left[u(t,k)\hat{a}(\vec{k})\mathrm{e}^{i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} + u^*(t,k)\hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k})\mathrm{e}^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}}\right]\,, \tag{6}$$

where $\hat{a}(\vec{k})$ and $\hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k})$ are the annihilation and creation operators and $\mu \ll 1$ is the ultraviolet cutoff scale. The operator $\hat{a}(\vec{k})$ annihilates the free vacuum state $|\Omega\rangle$ of the theory, $\hat{a}(\vec{k})|\Omega\rangle = 0$, and $\hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k})$ creates a particle of momentum $\vec{k}$ out of the vacuum. Applying successively $\hat{a}^\dagger(\vec{k})$ on $|\Omega\rangle$ generates the Fock space of the theory.

On De Sitter space and in the massless limit ($\epsilon = 0$, $\xi R + V''(0) = 0$), the properly normalized mode functions (which obey the canonical Wronskian, $W[u,u^*] = i/a^3$), are given by,

$$u(t,k) = \frac{H}{\sqrt{2k^3}}\left[1 - \frac{ik}{aH}\right]\exp\left(i\frac{k}{aH}\right), \quad u^*(t,k) = \frac{H}{\sqrt{2k^3}}\left[1 + \frac{ik}{aH}\right]\exp\left(-i\frac{k}{aH}\right). \tag{7}$$

In the more complicated case, when the scalar is massive, the mode functions can be expressed in terms of the Hankel functions of the first and second kind. From the solutions (7) it is clear that the first term in the square brackets dominate in the infrared (on super-Hubble scales), when $k/a \ll H$, such that the mode functions in Φ_0 in Eq. (6) can be approximated by, $u(t,k) = u^*(t,k) \simeq H/\sqrt{2k^3}$. With this approximation in mind, one can show that the field operator Φ_0 becomes a classical stochastic field, in the sense that its canonical commutator vanishes, $[\Phi_0(t,\vec{x}), \dot{\Phi}_0(t,\vec{y})] = 0$, and its correlator is Markovian,

$$\langle\Omega|\xi(t,\vec{x})\xi(t',\vec{y})|\Omega\rangle = \frac{(\mu a)^2(\mu H \dot{a})}{18\pi^2}\frac{\sin[\mu H a r]}{\mu H a r}|u(t,k=\mu H a)|^2\delta(t-t')\,, \quad r = \|\vec{x}-\vec{y}\|\,, \tag{8}$$

where $\xi(t,\vec{x}) = \dot{\Phi}_0/(3H)$. The formula (8) simplifies further when one takes account of the infrared approximation for the mode functions, $|u(t,k)|^2 \to 1/[2H(\mu a)^3]$ and in the limit of spatial coincidence, when $\sin[\mu H a r]/(\mu H a r) \to 1$. In this case the noise correlator (8) simplifies to, $\langle\Omega|\xi(t,\vec{x})\xi(t',\vec{y})|\Omega\rangle \simeq [H/(36\pi^2)]\delta(t-t')$.

B. Stochastic theory on accelerating spaces

Cho, Kim and Kitamoto recently showed [11, 12] that, in order to obtain the stochastic theory applicable to accelerating spaces with constant ϵ (for which $0 \le \epsilon < 1$), it is convenient to rewrite the operator equation (3) as

$$\partial_N^2\phi(t,\vec{x}) + (3-\epsilon)\partial_N\phi - \frac{\nabla^2}{(aH)^2}\phi + \frac{V'(\phi)+\xi R\phi}{H^2} = 0\,, \tag{9}$$

where $N = \ln(a)$ is the number of e-foldings. The corresponding stochastic theory is then obtained by dropping the second derivative terms in (9) and adding a stochastic force ξ as, [1]

$$\partial_N\Phi(N,\vec{x}) + \frac{V'(\Phi) - V''(0)\Phi}{(3-\epsilon)H^2} = \frac{\dot{\Phi}_0}{(3-\epsilon)H} \equiv \xi(t,\vec{x})\,, \tag{10}$$

where the stochastic force ξ obeys,

$$\langle\Omega|\xi(t,\vec{x})\xi(t',\vec{y})|\Omega\rangle = \frac{(\mu a)^3 H^2(1-\epsilon)}{(3-\epsilon)^2 2\pi^2}\frac{\sin[\mu H a r]}{\mu H a r}|u(t,k)|^2\delta(t-t')\,, \tag{11}$$

---

[1] There is no unique way to split the potential into the one that gives rise to the stochastic force and the interactions. In Eq. (10) we use the standard procedure, and include the quadratic terms in the action into the equation of motion for the free field Φ_0, and all cubic and higher order terms into the interactions. This split is supported by the well known fact that large masses suppress stochastic force, hence it is natural to include them into Φ_0. In the Appendix we consider another possibility, according to which mass terms are split between the interactions and the free field. That choice is motivated by the desire to retain the cutoff independence of the De Sitter case.

and u is the mode function satisfying,

$$\Big(\partial_\eta^2 + k^2 - \frac{a''}{a} + a^2\big[V''(0) + 6\xi(2-\epsilon)H^2\big]\Big)[au(\eta,k)] = 0. \tag{12}$$

For a constant epsilon, $a''/a = (2-\epsilon)/[\eta^2(1-\epsilon)^2]$ and assuming $m_\phi/H = \text{const.}$ (we will see below in which situations this approximation holds), we get

$$\Big(\partial_\eta^2 + k^2 - \frac{(2-\epsilon)(1-6\xi)}{(1-\epsilon)^2}\frac{1}{\eta^2} + a^2 V''(0)\Big)[au(\eta,k)] = 0. \tag{13}$$

The Bunch-Davies (or Chernikov-Tagirov) vacuum solution [2] for the mode functions is (see *e.g.* Refs. [13] and [14]),

$$\begin{aligned} u(\eta,k) &= \frac{1}{a}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4(1-\epsilon)\mathcal{H}}}H_\nu^{(1)}\Big(\frac{k}{(1-\epsilon)\mathcal{H}}\Big) \\ u^*(\eta,k) &= \frac{1}{a}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{4(1-\epsilon)\mathcal{H}}}H_\nu^{(2)}\Big(\frac{k}{(1-\epsilon)\mathcal{H}}\Big), \qquad \nu^2 = \frac{1}{4} + \frac{(2-\epsilon)(1-6\xi)}{(1-\epsilon)^2} - \frac{V''(0)}{H^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

where $\mathcal{H} = d[\ln(a(\eta))]/d\eta = aH$ is the conformal Hubble rate. These solutions are correct provided V''/H^2 are time independent (in slow roll inflation one allows for a weak dependence of ν on time, in the sense that $|\dot{\nu}/\nu| \ll H$, which amounts to an adiabatic approximation). Since mode functions in (11) are truncated at $k/a = \mu H \ll H$, one can approximate the Hankel functions in (14) with their small argument expansion. [3] When the leading term in this expansion is inserted into (11) one obtains,

$$\langle\Omega|\xi(t,\vec{x})\xi(t',\vec{y})|\Omega\rangle = \frac{H^2 A(\epsilon,\nu,\mu)}{(3-\epsilon)^2}\frac{\sin[\mu H a r]}{\mu H a r}\delta(N(t)-N(t')), \tag{15}$$

where

$$A = \frac{\mu^{3-2\nu}(1-\epsilon)^{2\nu}2^{2\nu-3}\Gamma(\nu)^2}{\pi^3}, \tag{16}$$

such that, when $\nu \neq 3/2$, stochastic force becomes dependent on the cutoff scale μ. If we are interested in the cases when $|\epsilon| \ll 1$, $12|\xi| \ll 1$ and $|V''(0)| \ll H^2$, then $\nu \approx 3/2$ ($|\nu - 3/2| \ll 1$), the dependence on the UV cutoff is weak, and stochastic treatment applies. One could argue that the better choice for the UV cutoff in Eq. (6) is $k_{\rm UV} = \mu(1-\epsilon)aH$. Indeed, for this choice the argument of the Hankel function becomes μ, which equals to 1 when $\mu = 1$, signifying the scale at which mode functions start coupling strongly to the background, and the process of large (non-adiabatic) particle creation sets in. For that choice of the cutoff, A in Eq. (16) would rescale as, $A \to A(1-\epsilon)^{3-2\nu}$, retaining thus the same dependence on the cutoff scale μ, thus not changing (16) in any significant way.

---

[2] The mode functions for the most general homogeneous pure Gaussian state can be written as, $\alpha(k)u(\eta,k) + \beta(k)u^*(\eta,k)$, with $|\alpha|^2 - |\beta|^2 = 1$.

[3] The relevant small argument expansion of the Hankel functions is, $H_\nu^{(1)}(z) = [H_\nu^{(2)}(z)]^* \simeq [\Gamma(\nu)^2/\pi](2/z)^{2\nu} + \mathcal{O}(z^{-2\nu+2}, z^0, z^{2\nu})$ ($\Im[\nu] = 0$, $\nu > 0$, $\Im[z] = 0$).

Just like in De Sitter space, the stochastic theory (10–11) can be recast as a Fokker-Planck equation [2] for the probability distribution function (PDF) $\rho = \rho(N(t), \varphi(\vec{x}))$ (for the stochastic field $\varphi(\vec{x})$ which represents the quantum field $\Phi(t, \vec{x})$),

$$\partial_N \rho(N, \varphi(\vec{x})) = \frac{H^2 A}{2} \partial_\varphi^2 \rho + \frac{1}{(3-\epsilon)H^2} \partial_\varphi [\rho V'(\varphi)] \,, \tag{17}$$

where we made use of $\partial_t = H\partial_N$, which follows from $N = \ln(a)$. Starobinsky and Yokoyama [2] told us how to solve the Fokker-Planck equation in the case of a self-interacting massless scalar field on De Sitter space, for which $V(\phi) = [\lambda/4!]\phi^4$. Their solution can be easily extended to the case of a light scalar. even though in that limit the solution picks up a slight dependence on the cutoff scale μ.

C. Stochastic late-time solution

In order to study asymptotically late-time solutions of (17) in an accelerating space-time with constant epsilon, it is convenient to transform from (N, φ) to the variables $(N, \tilde{\varphi} = \varphi/H)$, in which case,

$$\partial_N \to \partial_N + \epsilon \tilde{\varphi} \partial_{\tilde{\varphi}} \,. \qquad \partial_\varphi \to H^{-1} \partial_{\tilde{\varphi}} \,. \tag{18}$$

Furthermore, from $\int d\varphi \rho(N, \varphi) = \int d\tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\rho}(N, \tilde{\varphi})$, it follows that $\tilde{\rho} = H\rho$. [4] With these in mind, Eq. (17) becomes,

$$\partial_N \tilde{\rho}(N, \tilde{\varphi}) + \epsilon \partial_{\tilde{\varphi}} \big[\tilde{\varphi} \tilde{\rho}(N, \tilde{\varphi})\big] = \frac{A}{2} \partial_{\tilde{\varphi}}^2 \tilde{\rho} + \frac{1}{3-\epsilon} \partial_{\tilde{\varphi}} [\tilde{\rho} \tilde{V}'(\tilde{\varphi})] \,, \tag{19}$$

where $\tilde{V}(x)$ is defined by,

$$\tilde{V}(\tilde{\varphi}) \equiv \frac{V(H\tilde{\varphi})}{H^4} \,. \tag{20}$$

A closer inspection of (19) implies that, if we are to have a time independent solution at late times, then time dependence must disappear from the right hand side of (19), *i.e.* $\tilde{V}(\tilde{\varphi})$

---

[4] I thank Hiroyuki Kitamoto for pointing out to me that this rescaling of ρ significantly simplifies the late-time solution for the field PDF.

must be time-independent. This is the case when the scalar field is invariant under scale transformations, [5] *i.e.* when $V(\phi) = [\lambda/4!]\phi^4$. In this case,

$$\tilde{V}(\tilde{\varphi}) = \frac{\lambda}{4!}\tilde{\varphi}^4\,. \tag{21}$$

For example, neither cubic interactions, $\lambda_3\varphi^3/3!$, nor tree mass terms, $m^2\varphi^2/2$, are not allowed in the potential, because it would generate the terms, $[m/H(t)]^2\tilde{\varphi}^2/2 + (\lambda_3/6H)\tilde{\varphi}^3$, in $\tilde{V}$, which depend on time through $H(t)$.

With these comments in mind, the late-time limit of Eq. (19) becomes,

$$\partial_{\tilde{\varphi}}[\epsilon\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{\rho}_\infty(\tilde{\varphi})] = \frac{A}{2}\partial^2_{\tilde{\varphi}}\tilde{\rho}_\infty + \frac{1}{3-\epsilon}\partial_{\tilde{\varphi}}\Big[\tilde{\rho}_\infty(\tilde{\varphi})\Big(\frac{\lambda}{6}\tilde{\varphi}^3\Big)\Big]\,. \tag{22}$$

This equation governs the late-time PDF of the scalar field in stochastic inflation. Next, it is convenient to rewrite Eq. (22) in the form,

$$\partial^2_{\tilde{\varphi}}\tilde{\rho}_\infty + \partial_{\tilde{\varphi}}\big[v'_{\rm eff}\tilde{\rho}_\infty\big] = 0\,, \qquad v_{\rm eff} = \frac{2}{(3-\epsilon)A}\left[\tilde{V}(\tilde{\varphi}) - \frac{(3-\epsilon)\epsilon}{2}\tilde{\varphi}^2\right]\,. \tag{23}$$

Then the following transformation, $\tilde{\rho}_\infty = \tilde{\rho}_0\exp[-v(\tilde{\varphi})]$ yields the differential equation for $v(\tilde{\varphi})$,

$$-v'' + v''_{\rm eff} + [v' - v'_{\rm eff}]v' = 0\,. \tag{24}$$

The obvious (and correct) solution is,

$$v(\tilde{\varphi}) = v_{\rm eff}(\tilde{\varphi})\,, \tag{25}$$

where $v_{\rm eff}(\tilde{\varphi})$ is given in Eq. (23). In conclusion, the evolution of an interacting scalar field in accelerating spaces gets modified such that – due to the deviation from De Sitter exponential expansion – at late times the field acquires a negative, time dependent, mass-squared,

$$\Delta m^2_\epsilon = -(3-\epsilon)\epsilon H^2 \tag{26}$$

Since our field already has a (time dependent) mass-squared, $R = 6\xi(2-\epsilon)H^2$, the total mass becomes,

$$m^2_\phi \overset{t\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \big[6\xi(2-\epsilon) - (3-\epsilon)\epsilon\big]H^2\,. \tag{27}$$

---

[5] By the invariance under scale transformations we mean the invariance of the classical action under the transformations of the type, $g_{\mu\nu} \to \Omega^2 g_{\mu\nu}$, $\varphi \to \Omega^{-1}\varphi$, where Ω is an arbitrary constant. It then follows that the Ricci scalar transforms as, $R \to \Omega^{-2}R$, $\sqrt{-g} \to \Omega^4\sqrt{-g}$ and the action (1) is invariant if $V \to \Omega^{-4}V$, which is the case when $V = \lambda\varphi^4/4!$.

Depending on the sign and magnitude of ξ, this mass-squared can be either positive or negative, indicating that deviations from De Sitter can be responsible for generation of symmetry breaking. A complete understanding of this interesting question requires further study (for a discussion of symmetry restoration on De Sitter see Refs. [15–17]).

The PDF $\rho(\varphi)$ that we obtained in this letter can be used to calculate asymptotically late-time coincident field correlators in accelerating spaces. In order to obtain the corresponding renormalized correlators, $\langle\phi^{2n}(x)\rangle$, the following formula can be used,

$$\langle\phi^{2n}(x)\rangle \simeq \langle\varphi^{2n}\rangle_{\rm stoch} = \int d\varphi\rho(\varphi)\varphi^{2n} = H^{2n}\int d\tilde{\varphi}\tilde{\rho}(\tilde{\varphi})\tilde{\varphi}^{2n}\,, \tag{28}$$

whereby ρ is assumed to be suitably normalized, $\int d\varphi\rho(\varphi) = 1$. The relevant integrals can be expressed in terms of the confluent hypergeometric functions and the modified Bessel functions [15].

III. CONCLUSION AND DISCUSSION

In this letter we have constructed the late-time solution for an interacting scalar field theory on accelerating spaces with a constant principal slow roll parameter, $\epsilon \equiv -\dot{H}/H^2$. The most general tree level potential for which one can construct an exact solution contains quartic self-coupling and a non-minimal coupling to gravity. Our solution (25) shows that, the effective potential that governs the PDF at late times acquires a negative, order ϵ mass-squared, $\Delta m_\epsilon^2 = -(3-\epsilon)\epsilon H^2$, see Eq. (26), thus effectively increasing the size of field fluctuations. If the total mass-squared becomes negative, that would suggest a symmetry breaking induced by the expansion. It would be of interest to understand the dynamics of such a symmetry breaking. Even in the simpler De Sitter background the problem of symmetry breaking/restoration has not fully been understood [15–17]. The reason why we were able to find an exact late-time solution for the field PDF is because the tree-level potential is invariant under scale transformations. The resulting effective potential (25), (23) inherits the scale invariance of the tree-level.

An interesting question is how our results get modified when one includes into the tree potential terms that break scale invariance, such as a mass term or a cubic self-interaction. It is easily seen that in that case the Fokker-Planck equation (17) does not admit late-time scale invariant solutions simply because in this case the rescaled potential $\tilde{V}$ (21) contains time dependent terms $\tilde{V} \supset m^2\tilde{\varphi}^2/[2H(t)^2] \propto a^{2\epsilon}, \lambda_3\tilde{\varphi}^2/[6H(t)] \propto a^{\epsilon}$. It would be of interest to construct adiabatic solutions in that more complicated case. We suspect that is possible only when ϵ is small, *i.e.* $\epsilon \ll 1$. For a discussion of that question see Ref. [12].

One annoying feature of our solution (25), (23) is the cutoff dependence of our late-time solution for the PDF $\rho(\varphi)$, which is hidden in the μ-dependence of the stochastic force parameter (16), $A \propto \mu^{3-2\nu}$. That dependence is weak when $\nu \simeq 3/2$, which is the case during slow roll inflation and when the field mass is negligibly small. There is a formal way of getting rid of this μ dependence, which is discussed in the Appendix. The idea is to split the mass term into two parts, such that the stochastic force is equal to that of the massless field in De Sitter limit. Just like in the De Sitter case, the dependence on μ then disappears. The resulting late-time PDF gets modified as discussed in the Appendix such that the effective potential gains a quadratic term with a well defined potential, see Eqs. (33) and (35). While this may be just a curiosity, it may contain important physics. Namely, to study properly what happens in the deep infrared on accelerating space-times, an RG treatment should be appropriate. There have been some attempts to apply RG methods in De Sitter space [15–17], but none so far is attempted in more general FLRW space-times. While early results are promising, further developments will show how important these results are and whether these techniques can be used to obtain results that go beyond stochastic inflation, and thus illuminate the curious results obtained in the Appendix.

The late-time solutions we have constructed here can be of help to better understand late-time dynamics of the inflaton and other interacting scalar fields during inflation, especially in the regime when ϵ is not very small. Our effective potential can be used to calculate, for example, late-time limit of $n-$point functions of the scalar field, by making use of Eq. (28).

Acknowledgements

This work is part of the D-ITP consortium, a program of the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) that is funded by the Dutch Ministry of Education, Culture and Science (OCW).

Appendix

Here we provide a formal procedure for construction of a cutoff-independent late-time PDF of an interacting scalar field, whose potential is scale invariant,

$$V(\varphi)=\frac{6\xi(2-\epsilon)}{2}H^2\varphi^2+\frac{\lambda}{4!}\varphi^4\,. \tag{29}$$

The cutoff dependence is generated by the fact that free mode functions satisfy an equation for which the index ν defined in Eq. (14) differs from 3/2. To get $\nu=3/2$, one can split the mass term in the potential (29) as,

$$V(\varphi)=(\omega_1+\omega_2)\frac{6\xi(2-\epsilon)}{2}H^2\varphi^2+\frac{\lambda}{4!}\varphi^4\,,\qquad \omega_1+\omega_2=1$$

such that $\nu=3/2$, or equivalently,

$$\nu^2=\frac{1}{4}+\frac{(2-\epsilon)(1-6\omega_1\xi)}{(1-\epsilon)^2}=\frac{9}{4}$$

is achieved by

$$\omega_1=\frac{1}{6\xi}\frac{3-2\epsilon}{2-\epsilon}\epsilon\,,\qquad \omega_2=1-\frac{1}{6\xi}\frac{3-2\epsilon}{2-\epsilon}\epsilon\,. \tag{30}$$

In this case the amplitude of the stochastic force (16) becomes cutoff independent,

$$A\ \overset{\nu\to 3/2}{\longrightarrow}\ A_0=\frac{(1-\epsilon)^3}{4\pi^2}\,, \tag{31}$$

and the late-time limit of Eq. (19) becomes,

$$\partial^2_{\tilde\varphi}\tilde\rho_\infty+\frac{2}{A_0}\frac{1}{3-\epsilon}\partial_{\tilde\varphi}\Big[\tilde\rho_\infty\Big(\frac{\lambda}{6}\tilde\varphi^3+6\omega_2\xi(2-\epsilon)\tilde\varphi-(3-\epsilon)\epsilon\tilde\varphi\Big)\Big]=0\,. \tag{32}$$

This equation governs the late-time PDF of the scalar field in stochastic inflation. As in Eq. (23) above, one can introduce a rescaled effective potential,

$$\bar v_{\rm eff}=\frac{2}{(3-\epsilon)A_0}\left[\tilde V(\tilde\varphi)+\frac{3(2\xi-\epsilon)(2-\epsilon)}{2}\tilde\varphi^2\right] \tag{33}$$

and the equation of motion for $\bar v(\tilde\varphi)=-\ln[\tilde\rho_\infty(\tilde\varphi)/\tilde\rho_0]$ is,

$$-\bar v''+\bar v''_{\rm eff}+[\bar v'-\bar v'_{\rm eff}]\bar v'=0\,. \tag{34}$$

Upon comparing with (24–25), we see that the correct solution is,

$$\bar v(\tilde\varphi)=\bar v_{\rm eff}(\tilde\varphi)\,. \tag{35}$$

From this result we conclude that the cutoff independent solution for the field PDF inherits the tree mass term minus a mass term that is proportional to ϵ, such that the effective mass-squared is positive (negative) when $2\xi-\epsilon$ is positive (negative). We emphasize again that the solution (35) is fully cutoff and scale independent.

While there is no good reason to think that such a modification of the theory should correctly reproduce early time evolution of the field correlators, the late-time correlators may be correctly reproduced. In order to fully understand the implications of the result (35), one would have to perform a suitable RG analysis.

---

[1] A. A. Starobinsky, "Stochastic De Sitter (inflationary) Stage In The Early Universe," published in "Stochastic de sitter (inflationary) stage in the early universe," editors H. de Vega and N. Sánchez, Lect. Notes Phys. **246** (1986) 107.

[2] A. A. Starobinsky and J. Yokoyama, "Equilibrium state of a self-interacting scalar field in the De Sitter background," Phys. Rev. D **50** (1994) 6357 [astro-ph/9407016].

[3] N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, "Stochastic quantum gravitational inflation," Nucl. Phys. B **724** (2005) 295 [gr-qc/0505115].

[4] S. P. Miao and R. P. Woodard, "Leading log solution for inflationary Yukawa," Phys. Rev. D **74** (2006) 044019 [gr-qc/0602110].

[5] T. Prokopec, N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, "Stochastic Inflationary Scalar Electrodynamics," Annals Phys. **323** (2008) 1324 [arXiv:0707.0847 [gr-qc]].

[6] T. Prokopec, N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, "Two loop stress-energy tensor for inflationary scalar electrodynamics," Phys. Rev. D **78** (2008) 043523 [arXiv:0802.3673 [gr-qc]].

[7] T. Prokopec, N. C. Tsamis and R. P. Woodard, "Two Loop Scalar Bilinears for Inflationary SQED," Class. Quant. Grav. **24** (2007) 201 [gr-qc/0607094].

[8] V. Vennin and A. A. Starobinsky, "Correlation Functions in Stochastic Inflation," arXiv:1506.04732 [hep-th].

[9] F. Finelli, G. Marozzi, A. A. Starobinsky, G. P. Vacca and G. Venturi, "Stochastic growth of quantum fluctuations during slow-roll inflation," Phys. Rev. D **82** (2010) 064020 [arXiv:1003.1327 [hep-th]].

[10] F. Finelli, G. Marozzi, A. A. Starobinsky, G. P. Vacca and G. Venturi, "Generation of fluctuations

during inflation: Comparison of stochastic and field-theoretic approaches," Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 044007 [arXiv:0808.1786 [hep-th]].

[11] Hiroyuki Kitamoto, "Stochastic Dynamics of Infrared Fluctuations in Accelerating Universe," talk given on Jul 21 2015 at the international workshop "Quantum Fields and IR Issues in De Sitter Space" (International Institute for Physics, Natal, Brazil).

[12] Gihyuk Cho, Cook Hyun Kim and Hiroyuki Kitamoto, "Stochastic Dynamics of Infrared Fluctuations in Accelerating Universe" [to appear on arXiv simultaneously with this paper].

[13] T. M. Janssen, S. P. Miao, T. Prokopec and R. P. Woodard, "The Hubble Effective Potential," JCAP **0905** (2009) 003 [arXiv:0904.1151 [gr-qc]].

[14] D. Glavan, T. Prokopec and D. C. van der Woude, "Late-time quantum backreaction from inflationary fluctuations of a nonminimally coupled massless scalar," Phys. Rev. D **91** (2015) 2, 024014 [arXiv:1408.4705 [gr-qc]].

[15] G. Lazzari and T. Prokopec, "Symmetry breaking in De Sitter: a stochastic effective theory approach," arXiv:1304.0404 [hep-th].

[16] J. Serreau, "Renormalization group flow and symmetry restoration in De Sitter space," Phys. Lett. B **730** (2014) 271 [arXiv:1306.3846 [hep-th]].

[17] M. Guilleux and J. Serreau, "Quantum scalar fields in De Sitter space from the nonperturbative renormalization group," arXiv:1506.06183 [hep-th].